

Application No. 10/656,936
Paper Dated February 21, 2006
In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 6, 2006
Attorney Docket No. 1381-031188

REMARKS


In the Office Action, the claims have been restricted between the following allegedly distinct inventions:

Invention I: Claims 1-9, drawn to a clip;
Invention II: Claims 10-14, drawn to a method of making a clip; and
Invention III: Claims 15-20, drawn to a roof deck.

Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, to prosecute the invention of Invention I, which includes claims 1-9. Applicant makes this election without prejudice to the later filing of a divisional application directed to the non-elected inventions.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By 

Paul M. Reznick
Registration No. 33,059
Attorney for Applicant
700 Koppers Building
436 Seventh Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219-1845
Telephone: 412-471-8815
Facsimile: 412-471-4094
E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com